	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/748,376	BURKEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mohammad Ali	2166
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Mohammad Ali</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Amir N. Penn (RN. 40,747)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2006</u>	Time: <u>3:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  NONE		
Claims discussed: 2 and 44		
Prior art documents discussed:  NONE		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  A telephone was made to applicant's representative about the potential amendment and representative agreed with the Eaminer's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment		
<ul> <li>Part III.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		